From Electromobility to **Autonomous Mobility in Europe:** What Have We Learned? What Is Ahead?

Christophe Midler Christophe.midler@polytechnique.edu Rémi Maniak Remi.maniak@polytechnique.edu

 \mathcal{G}

R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018

THE INNOVATION MANAGEMENT RESEARCH PROGRAM AT CRG STRONG ANCHORING IN AUTOMOTIVE FIELD, ON GOING RESEARCH PROGRAM ON EV AND AUTONOMOUS MOBILITY (R. MANIAK & C. MIDLER)

6 doctoral researches

- The engineering of the deployment of a disruptive platform, the EV case F. Von Pechmann (C. Midler supervisor) [2014]
- Strategies and Management of Disruptive Innovation in Emerging Countries The case of Electric Vehicles in China B. Chen (C. Midler supervisor) [2018]
- Systemic innovation management and strategies in the digital age : the case of the mobility industry G.Marcocchia (R Maniak supervisor) [ongoing]
- The Development of Electric Mobility System in Indian cities in the next 10 years H.Sawamura (J. Ruet supervisor) [ongoing]
- Managing ambidextrous programs: the case of autonomous mobility T. de Campigneulles (C. Midler and R. Maniak supervisors) [ongoing]
- Technological breakthroughs and industrial dynamics, the EV case M.Alochet (C. Midler supervisor) [ongoing]



FROM ELECTROMOBILITY TO AUTONOMOUS MOBILITY IN EUROPE: WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED? WHAT IS AHEAD?

AGENDA

- 1. The rise of Battery Electric Vehicle in Europe: the deployment of a systemic disruptive innovation A quick historical perspective The dynamics of bottlenecks in BEV deployment Managing systemic disruptive innovation: learnings from BEV case

- 2. the Autonomous Mobility Challenge: a triple transition and uncertainties for car industry
- A technology transition from internal combustion engine to electric motorization, connectivity, artificial intelligence. A business model transition from a 8 to C product centric to a 8 to 8 to C autonomous mobility service business model. An ecosystem transition from an established ICE value chain.
- 3. Managing the Autonomous Mobility challenges
- From stage-gale project portfolio management to ambidextrous program management from innovaling among the stabilized value chain to exploring in an emerging eco-system from home centric R&D to worldwide exploration & experiment field



R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018

A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPLOYMENT IN EUROPE

	20	
Nbr of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956		Ann
The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs	e for renewal of R&D efforts from OEM, with t	he help
80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet	Few Electrified 205 by Peugeot	
The 1990's turn: the marke	et emergence at last? des véhicules électriques peufs en Erance denuis 1993	
1993 1994 1995	1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 Total	
Participation of the second se	assets/documents/72285_ademe_histoire_du_ve_pageapage.pdf	
R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility	Conference october 2018	4
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPL he early days of automobile	LOYMENT	esign of
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPL he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles	LOYMENT	esign of
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPI he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nbr of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956	LOYMENT : a promising start, victim of the dominant de	esign of
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPL he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nor of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs	LOYMENT : a promising start, victim of the dominant de	esign of
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPL he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nbr of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs 80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet	LOYMENT : a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising	esign of
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPI he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nbr of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs 80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet The 1990's turn: the market Statistiques d'immatriculations d	LOYMENT a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising s	he help
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPI he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nbr of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs 80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet The 1990's turn: the marke Statistiques d'immatriculations of Statistiques d'immatriculation	LOYMENT :: a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising start, victim of the dominant de b promisin	he help
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPI he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nbr of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs 80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet The 1990's turn: the market Statistiques d'immatriculations (2002 2003 : Consumer market)	LOYMENT : a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising start, victim of the dominant de b promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising start, victim of the dominant de b promising start, victim of the dominant de the dominant	esign of
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPI he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nor of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs 80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet The 1990's turn: the market Statistiques d'immatriculations 1973 1974 1975 Tel 2012 2008 : Consumer market	LOYMENT a promising start, victim of the dominant de a promising s	esign of he help
A BRIEF HISTORY OF EV DEPI he early days of automobile ernal combustion vehicles Nor of EV manufacturers between 1893 et 1956 The 1970's and 1980's: time of public programs 80 electrified Renault 4 and Renault 5 in the first EDF test feet The 1990's turn: the market Statistiques d'immatriculations to 1990's turn: the market Statistiques d'immatriculations 2002 2008 : Consumer ma 2003 2004 2005 2003 2004	LOYMENT a promising start, victim of the dominant de a definition of the dominant de a definitio	he help

- from 2011 : a real diversified offer emerges on European market



2

- From 2011: the growth of a real consumer market in Europe at last





Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 1/5: In the 1990 and early 2000's: Battery and Product value bottleneck.

A battery technology bottleneck : range and cost



A deceptive product offer: electrified ICE cars cannot compete with ICE •



8

80%

20%

80% d

R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018

Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 2/5: From 2011: a market raise much slower than expected.

Real diversified and valuable product offer

The battery range: no more a bottleneck... theorically



But still far from initial market dynamic expectations:



Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 3/5: The customer learning bottleneck.



Public does not know about the real value of EV

The key role of field experiments and early niche markets



Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 3/5: The customer learning bottleneck.



Public does not know about the real value of EV

The key role of field experiments and early niche markets

And deeply transform the usual marketing and sales practices to adapt the customer experience to the specificity of EV innovation



Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 3/5: The total cost of ownership (TCO) bottleneck. • The key role of public authorities: national and local incentives





Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 3/5:





Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 4/5: The electric mobility system bottleneck.

Impact of a specific levers on global EV customer sales in French Market

13



ALC: NO.

Felix von Pechmann (2014)

14

Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 4/5: The electric mobility system bottleneck.

Impact of a specific levers on global EV customer sales in French Market 100000





Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 4/5: The electric mobility system bottleneck.

Reorienting public incentives from R&D and final customer to EV infrastructure



Analysing the dynamics in EV deployment 4/5: The electric mobility system bottleneck.

- Reorienting public incentives from final customer to EV infrastructure deployment
- Reorienting the OEM sales and marketing practices from B to C to B to Mobility Prescribers to C



What have we learn from EV dynamics?

EV is a systemic disruptive innovation transition

- The Radicality of the transition (from featuring capability within to dominant design disruptive changes)
- 2. The perimeter of the change (from product centric to mobility system transition)
- 3. The massive scale of the projects (from POC, prototypes and experiments to massive industrial development)
- The speed of the transitions (from sequential cautious stage-gate processes to ambitious market deadlines)
- The need to carry out these transitions while maintaining the existing activity (ambidextrous strategies and organizations)

18

What have we learned from EV dynamics?

	Managing such transition than usual new inr	ne	eds different innovation processes
1.	The radicality of the transition	:	« Electrified ICE » not enough value Need a global redesign of product And a new business model to commete dominant
2.	An efficient manageme The perime to address those 5 o	nt o diffe	f such systemic disruption needs rent challenges concurrently roduct:
		:	To engineer the related electromobility system To manage all the components of new Electric mobility ecosystem
3.	The massive scale of deployment	•	Strategic commitment to the « real size » move unlocked the technological, market and regulation dynamics
4.	The speed of the transitions	:	// anticipation of various levers (product AND customer learning AND infrastructure with field experiments. The first movers are far ahead now BUT We are now in the « Tornado » period where new generation offers emerge rapidly, based on the confidence of real massive market. Leapfrogging is possible
5-	The ambidexterity strategy	•	Autonomous empowered units, high sponsoring and « ambidextrous program management » to coordinate the diverse concurrent learnings
(•	The first massive step generally preserve the existing industrial footprint

Autonomous Mobility: an even more challenging transition !!

1. The radicality of the transition	 Techno: Electrified + connected + intelligent Business model: Value for customer ? product >> service
2. The perimeter of the change	 Product+infrastructure+mobility system operating New ecosystem: tech+service providers+public authorities New competitors from outside auto
3. The massive scale of deployment	Billion size investments in many global OEMWorld wide transition
4. The speed of the transitions	Impressive strategic comitments on AM BMW: Highly and fully automated diving by 2021 Forst: Level 4 vehicle in 2021 Hyundai: Huly AM for highway in 2021 and urban in 2030 Hyundai: Huly AM for highway 2022 and urban in 2030 Highly AM to highway, 2022 CII Toyoto: 2020 Highway, 2022 CII Valve: Hilly automatoxidu on the highway by 2021.
5. The ambidexterity strategies	Strategic ambiguity: Upgraded ADAS for classic B to C product Shift to robotised mobility service
R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference	e october 2018 20

Call for a new innovation management process!!

	Traditional auto R&D process		Ambidextrous program ma	nagement
•	Product centric	\longrightarrow	Mobility system centric	

Call for a new innovation management process!!

- Traditional auto R&D process Product centric . ••
- Sequential Stage Gate between homogeneous projects portfolios



	Ambidextrous program managemen
•	Mobility system centric
•	Program coordination between heterogeneous projects



R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018

22

Call for a new innovation management process!!

.

Traditional auto R&D process

- Product centric
- Sequential Stage Gate between homogeneous projects portfolios
- Co-innovation with auto suppliers





Ambidextrous program management



R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018

23

Call for a new innovation management process!! Ambidextrous program management

.

.

Traditional auto R&D process . Product centric

- Sequential Stage Gate between homogeneous projects portfolios . Co-innovation with auto suppliers
- The ambidexterity strategies B to C sustaining innovation strategic vision





Mobility system centric

Program coordination between heterogeneous projects

The ambidexterity strategies

Management of complex ecosystem

B to C sustaining innovation strategic vision?



CONCLUSIVE REMARKS

- On going transition is a new game for automobile industry
 - Systemic disruptive innovation
 - Incombents are well placed but need to adopt ambidextrous strategies

This transition calls for deeply renewing the innovation management processes

- Installed sequential stage gate process cannot meet the new game
- Hierarchical supply chain management is not fitted to manage heterogeneous and unstable eco-système
- Ambidextrous program management is a potential candidate
 Next step
 - Carry out a global survey to map the various forms of learning tracks and
 ambidexterity choices

25

26

Grant -

R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018

Thanks,

Questions?

R. Maniak, C. Midler, New Mobility Conference october 2018